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ABSTRACT

As our understanding of the physiopathology of intervertebral disc (IVD) degeneration has improved, novel therapeutic strategies have emerged, based on the local injection of cells, bioactive molecules, and nucleic acids. However, with regard to the harsh environment constituted by degenerated IVDs, protecting biologics from in situ degradation while allowing their long-term delivery is a major challenge. Yet, the design of the optimal approach for IVD regeneration is still under debate and only a few papers provide a critical assessment of IVD-specific carriers for local and sustained delivery of biologics. In this review, we highlight the IVD-relevant polymers as well as their design as macro-, micro-, and nano-sized particles to promote endogenous repair. Finally, we illustrate how multiscale systems, combining in situ-forming hydrogels with ready-to-use particles, might drive IVD regenerative medicine strategies toward innovation.

1. Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is a common health condition and it is now well established that over 80% of adults will experience LBP during their lifetime. LBP has become the second most frequent cause of hospital visits and the leading cause of years lived with disability (Maher et al., 2017; Vos et al., 2016). Thanks to a better understanding of the etiology of LBP, the involvement of intervertebral disc (IVD) degeneration, referred to as disc degenerative disease (DDD) (Vos et al., 2016), was identified.

Current treatments of LBP include nonpharmacological, pharmacological, or surgical approaches, all aimed at controlling pain. For early degenerative stages, pharmacotherapies are mainly used (Abdel Shaheed et al., 2016) in association with rehabilitation or education of the patient (Latimer et al., 2004). For more advanced cases, surgical approaches are considered if conservative methods fail. Spine fusion aims to directly remove the IVD and immobilize the adjacent vertebrae. However, this intervention reduces spine mobility and neighboring segments can be affected by an accelerated degenerative process (Baliga et al., 2015). Arthroplasty, with Nucleus pulposus or IVD total replacement, aims at conserving the spine motion notably at the affected levels. These interventions, although generally clinically effective in alleviating pain (Galbusera et al., 2008), are very invasive and often include a long postoperative recovery and a non negligible risk of complications (Baliga et al., 2015).

Recent progress in our understanding of IVD physiopathology has led to new approaches for IVD regenerative medicine. In view of the decreasing number of cells in degenerating IVD, cell-based therapies were studied with growing interest. However, owing to the restrictive regulatory framework and the difficult clinical translatability of cell-based therapies, the use of biological factors targeting IVD degenerative processes was also contemplated. More recently, the role of gene mutations or microRNA dysregulation was identified at the onset of DDD, leading to the development of a third therapeutic approach based on the delivery of genes or small interfering RNAs (siRNA). In this context, this review will first briefly give recent insights into the pathophysiological mechanisms of DDD and then focus on describing cell-, molecule-, gene-, and siRNA-based strategies for tackling DDD as well as the scaffolding biomaterials used for their respective delivery.
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2. IVD degeneration physiopathology

2.1. IVD structure

IVDs are fibro-cartilaginous tissues located between the vertebrae that are avascular and non-innervated (Whatley and Wen, 2012). They act as shock absorbers by distributing the mechanical loads along the spine and facilitate trunk mobility (Whatley and Wen, 2012; Zhou et al., 2014). IVDs are generally presented as a tripartite anatomical entity composed of the following parts (Fig. 1).

The Nucleus pulposus (NP) is the inner part and the most hydrated region of the IVD (Fontana et al., 2015). NP cell density in human is the lowest of the IVD (Maroudas et al., 1975). These cells can be classified into two types: notochordal cells and nucleopulpocytes (Colombier et al., 2014). Human adult NP cells produce a highly hydrated extracellular matrix (ECM), rich in proteoglycans (mainly aggrecan) and type II collagen.

The Annulus fibrosus (AF) is the outer part of the IVD. It can be divided into the outer AF containing a oriented lamellar array of densely packed collagen fibers, while the inner AF is less dense and less organized (Raj, 2008; Whatley and Wen, 2012). AF cells have a fibroblastic morphology (Sakai and Grad, 2015). The AF is composed of water, types I and II collagen fibers, proteoglycans, and other proteins (Roughley, 2004). The AF retains the pressurized NP and allows for disc compression/decompression (Fontana et al., 2015).

The endplates (EPs), composed of hyaline cartilage at the top and bottom of the vertebral bodies, interface the IVDs and the adjacent vertebrae. EPs cells are chondrocytes that synthesize an ECM rich in proteoglycans, types I and II collagens, and water. Mechanically, EPs support significant loads during daily-living activities and distribute the intradiscal pressures onto the adjacent vertebrae. They represent the main route for glucose and oxygen to reach the disc cells through the vertebral capillaries and for waste products to be eliminated from the disc (Fontana et al., 2015; Lotz et al., 2013).

2.2. Extracellular matrix anabolism/catabolism homeostasis

DDD has a complex and multifactorial etiology. Genetics, environmental causes, mechanical factors, aging, as well as systemic and toxic factors (Elmasry et al., 2015; Hadjipavlou et al., 2008) were identified as risk factors. Interestingly, recent data highlighted that the concomitant presence of notochordal cells and nucleopulpocytes was a pivotal factor for IVD ECM homeostasis (Erwin et al., 2006). Indeed, these two cell types interact via synthesized molecules and the early disappearance of notochordal cells is associated with a downregulation of nucleopulpocyte survival. This decrease in nucleopulpocyte density leads to a misbalance in the ECM anabolism/catabolism ratio that culminates in the alteration of the mechanical properties of IVDs (Erwin et al., 2011). In the advanced stages of IVD degeneration, proteoglycans, hydration, and the type-II/type-I collagen ratio are decreased (Russo et al., 2016; W.-J.Wang et al., 2015b), leading to a dehydrated and fibrotic NP with a solid-like mechanical behavior (Russo et al., 2016). Additionally, the AF structure is disorganized and EPs calcify (Fontana et al., 2015; W.-J.Wang et al., 2015b). As the degeneration worsens, innervation and vascularization of the disc occur (Whatley and Wen, 2012), leading to discogenic pain (Alkhatib et al., 2014).

From a molecular point of view, IVD degeneration is associated with an upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) (Sakai and Grad, 2015). These two molecules trigger the over-expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) notably MMP-1, -2, -3, -7, -8, and -13. These MMPs are known for their proteolytic action toward collagen and proteoglycans (Kepler et al., 2013b; W.-J.Wang et al., 2015b). Similarly to MMPs, there is a marked increase in the a disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motifs (ADAMTS) 4 and 5. ADAMTS 4 and 5 act as aggrecanases, thereby contributing to worsening ECM degradation (Molinos et al., 2015; W.-J.Wang et al., 2015b). As a pro-inflammatory cytokine, IL-1β up-regulates the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), nerve growth factor (NGF), and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), thereby triggering the neovascularization and neoinnervation of IVD that ultimately result in inflammation and pain (Alkhatib et al., 2014; Whatley and Wen, 2012). Finally, in the presence of TNF-α or IL-1β, NP cells tend to secrete chemo-attractant molecules including (C-C motif) ligand 5regulated on activation of normal T cells expressed and secreted (CCL5/RANTES) or chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 6 (CXCL6) (Grad et al., 2016), thus increasing the migration of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (Pattappa et al., 2014). This increased secretion of CCL5 was correlated with painful conditions of
degenerated IVDs (Kepler et al., 2013a). In addition, stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) was over-expressed in degenerated IVDs and involved in NP cell apoptosis (Z. Liu et al., 2016b). Interestingly, SDF-1 also demonstrated a chemo-attracting effect toward MSC migration in an ex vivo IVD culture model (Pereira et al., 2014).

In contrast to these catabolic factors, anabolic factors stimulate the biological cascade involved in regenerative processes. Among them, Transforming Growth Factor-β (TGF-β) is known to play a pivotal role in collagen and proteoglycan synthesis and in ECM homeostasis (S. Wang et al., 2015a). TGF-β is also one of the most potent inhibitors of TNF-α-induced upregulation of MMPs as well as of aggrecan and type-II collagen degradation (Yang et al., 2015). In parallel, Bone Morphogenic Proteins (BMPs) are known to increase proteoglycan and type-II collagen synthesis as well as cell proliferation and differentiation (S. Wang et al., 2015a). Among them, Growth and Differentiation Factor-5 (GDF-5 or BMP-14) plays a crucial role during musculoskeletal development and postnatal IVD maintenance (Feng et al., 2015). GDF-5 gene mutations notably lead to disc abnormalities in mice (Li et al., 2004). GDF-5 also upregulates the proliferation and secretory activities of NP and AF cells (Chujo et al., 2006) and modulates MMPs, IL-1β, or TNF-α expression levels (Enochson et al., 2014; Gruber et al., 2014a). Altogether, these data highlight the pivotal role of GDF-5 in IVD matrix homeostasis.

The ECM homeostasis of IVDs and the consequences associated with a homeostasis rupture are illustrated in Fig. 2.

3. From physiopathology to IVD specific biotherapies

Regarding the multifactorial nature of DDD, three main therapeutic strategies were considered in the past few years: cell-, molecular-, and nucleic acid-based therapies (Fig. 3).

3.1. Cell-based therapies

A converging body of evidence suggested that IVD degeneration originates from the early disappearance of IVD resident cells. Supplementation of the IVD with regenerative cells thus naturally emerged as a strategy. Repopulating a degenerated IVD with healthy cells aims at either (i) restoring tissue homeostasis by transplanting cells able to secrete a functional ECM or (ii) tackling in situ inflammation by transplanting stem cells with immune-suppressive and anti-inflammatory properties. To achieve these ambitious and clinically relevant objectives, various cells sources were considered. Logically, NP cells harvested from surgically removed IVDs were the first to be used, with promising results in a canine study and in human clinical trials (Meisel et al., 2007). However, their further use was dramatically hampered by the low cell number harvested (Sakai and Grad, 2015). In parallel, pluripotent stem cells such as embryonic stem cells could be of great interest in view of their theoretical potential to differentiate into NP cells. Nevertheless, legal and ethical controversies limit the use of these cells for clinical application. Induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells were also considered for various applications in regenerative medicine (Hirsh et al., 2014) and their suitability for IVD repair is being studied (Chen et al., 2015) but further studies are needed before their potential can be judged. Last but not least, adipose- (ASCs) or bone marrow-derived (MSCs) mesenchymal stem cells in their undifferentiated state are likely the most widely used in the DDD context. In addition to providing us with promising results (see next section), the extensive use of MSCs raised the question of their allogeneic or autologous origin (Richardson et al., 2016). Indeed, MSCs are considered hypo-immunogenic and immune-averse cells able to be transplanted across major histocompatibility barriers (Ankrum et al., 2014), making the use of allogeneic MSCs a credible alternative to autologous ones.

ASCs are considered particularly advantageous because of their abundance in fat tissue, their accessibility, and their more potent immune-modulatory effects in comparison with MSC isolated from bone marrow (Li et al., 2015). Of interest, human ASCs exhibit a nucleopulpopogenic potential when committed with TGF-β1 and GDF-5 (Colombier et al., 2016). When implanted in mice, these cells also demonstrated their ability to secrete GAGs and type II collagen, consistently with native NP cell capacities (Colombier et al., 2016). In rabbits, undifferentiated MSCs injected in the NP inhibited disc degeneration by reducing MMP-2, MMP-3, and MMP-13 expression and by promoting type-II collagen synthesis, resulting in the maintenance of the IVD structure (Miyamoto et al., 2010). The beneficial outcomes of injecting undifferentiated MSCs were further demonstrated in other animal models, such as rat, mouse, dog, or pig models (Henriksson et al., 2009; Hiyama et al., 2008; Jeong et al., 2009; Marfa et al., 2014; Richardson et al., 2016). In these studies, IVD degeneration was significantly slowed down, disc height and MRI signal intensity improved, and nucleopulpopogenic ECM neo-synthesis was observed. In humans, the intradiscal injection of MSCs could lower pain and disability (Orozco et al., 2011). This relief was associated with the anti-inflammatory (Maria et al., 2016; Sakai and Grad, 2015) and pro-anabolic properties of MSCs (Shabbir et al., 2009). Several ongoing clinical trials are conducted worldwide to determine the optimal cell source (autologous or allogeneic) to be used in DDD (Sakai and Andersson, 2015).

Despite their global promise, the intradiscal injection of “naked” MSCs remains hampered by the harsh environmental condition the cells experience when injected into a degenerated IVD (low oxygen tension, low nutrient level, metabolic product accumulation, acidic pH, high osmolarity, and high intradiscal pressure). These stringent conditions are responsible for the poor survival rate and altered activity of the injected cells. To overcome this hurdle, tissue engineers proposed to use cytoprotective 3D scaffolds able to create a biomimetic environment that could support cell survival. These scaffolds could additionally promote cell retention at the injection site thereby limiting the risk of off-target effects related to cell effusion. These scaffolds will be detailed in the last section of this review.

3.2. Molecular therapies

More recently, and facing the numerous limitations of cell transplantation, an alternative to cell-based therapies emerged. It consists in exploiting the ability of chemotractant molecules to mobilize and recruit endogenous progenitor cells at the degenerated site (Illien-Jünger et al., 2012). This strategy, based on the capability of the endogenous body to self-regenerate, could free clinicians from the restrictive regulatory framework and cost ineffectiveness of cell transplantation. With respect to the myriad of factors playing a crucial role in IVD physiopathology, many chemotractant, pro-anabolic, and anti-catabolic molecules were contemplated for the stimulation of resident cells or the attraction/recruitment of endogenous progenitors.

One key factor for improving the endogenous repair of IVDs via cell attraction/recruitment is the presence of endogenous progenitors with stem cell properties in the IVD (Rishbud et al., 2007). These IVD-derived progenitor cells (either from NP or EPs) are very similar to MSCs isolated from the bone marrow of the same patient (Blanco et al., 2010). Interestingly, analyses of the migration capacity of progenitors located in the NP, AF, and EP indicate that EP progenitors have the highest migration and invasion potency (S. Liu et al., 2016a). To favor cell migration in degenerative IVDs, chemokines were studied since, as chemotactic cytokines, they are involved in cell mobilization, attraction, and homing. Regarding IVDs, the three main chemokines expressed are SDF-1, CCL5, and CXCL16, both in healthy or degenerated IVDs. Interestingly, the expression of SDF-1 and CXCR4 was upregulated in degenerated IVDs, notably in MSCs isolated from the EPs (S. Liu et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2014). SDF-1 also increased MSC attraction in a nucleotomized disc model (Pereira et al., 2014). The implication of SDF-1 in stem cell attraction was also demonstrated in many tissues including myocardium or periodontal ligament (Kaku et al., 2017; Purcell et al., 2012). Recently, IVD cells stimulated with IL-1β and TNF-
were found to secrete a higher concentration of CCL5 compared with IVD cells cultured under physiological conditions (Gruber et al., 2014b). The presence of CCL5 in degenerated IVDs as well as herniated and scoliotic discs was further demonstrated by proteomic assays in other in vitro studies in humans (Kawaguchi et al., 2002; Kepler et al., 2013a). Finally, in AF cells, CXCL16 is up-regulated by IL-1β, suggesting a role for the CXCL16/CXCR6 system (Gruber et al., 2016).

Altogether, these data highlight the role of chemokines in the degenerative process of IVDs and strengthen their relevance in developing new strategies to attract progenitor cells and stimulate endogenous repair (Illien-Jünger et al., 2012).

In line with our understanding of DDD, anabolic factors can contribute to IVD regeneration. A large panel of anabolic factors was contemplated for IVD regeneration. Here, we mainly focus on the factors that demonstrated promising results in several reports.

TGF-β contributes toward maintaining the expression levels of connective tissue growth factor (CCN2), one of the most potent factors able to stimulate aggrecan synthesis, in NP cells (Tran et al., 2014).
addition, CCN2 suppressed the inductive effect of IL-1β on catabolic genes (Tran et al., 2014). Considering that pro-inflammatory cytokines decreased CCN2 expression, this makes TGF-β an anabolic factor able to counteract the effects of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Bedore et al., 2014). TGF-β was also used in association with dexamethasone to stimulate the proliferation of degenerated human NP cells and exhibit an anti-catabolic gene expression profile (Liang et al., 2013).

GDF-5 is a major factor involved in early IVD development, and mutations of its related gene lead to severe structural impairment (Feng et al., 2016). GDF-5 was used to differentiate human ASCs into nucleopulpocytes, in association with TGF-β1 (Colombier et al., 2016). Interestingly, intradiscal injection of GDF-5 in rats and rabbits was shown to increase disc height. Consequently, clinical trials were conducted to evaluate the safety and efficacy of intradiscal injection of GDF-5 in patients with DDD (NCT01158924, NCT00813813, NCT01182337, and NCT01124006 on clinicaltrials.gov). As far as we know, no peer-reviewed publication is currently available. While a recent study suggested the safety and efficacy of GDF-5 in DDD, its direct intradiscal injection may not be the most suitable route.

Other anabolic factors were investigated and their anti-apoptotic activity toward NP cells was evidenced. Indeed, aside from promoting angiogenesis, it is now clear that in IVD, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) also prevents NP cell apoptosis (Tran et al., 2011). Additionally, insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1), platelet-derived growth factors (PDGFs), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2) stimulate cell proliferation and inhibit cell apoptosis in human and animal cells (Vasiliadis and Pneumaticos, 2014). Together with the aforementioned CCN2 (Tran et al., 2014), these factors demonstrated their anabolic activity.

Regarding the role of anabolic factors in DDD treatment, the use of platelet-rich plasma (PRP), which contains a myriad of multifunctional growth factors, was recently proposed. Two clinical trials suggested the efficacy of PRP in DDD (Kristin et al., 2017; Tuakli-Wosornu et al., 2016). Further double-blind and controlled clinical trials are now required to confirm these first results.

Recent studies highlighted the key role of both TNF-α and interleukins in inflammation and further IVD degeneration, notably via the up regulation of MMPs and aggrecanases. Thus, anti-TNF-α treatments (infliximab) were proposed and showed a significant decreased expression of IL-1β and IL-6 in NP cells (Walter et al., 2015), thereby demonstrating their anti-catabolic activity. In addition, the local administration of anti-IL-6 receptor, such as atilizumab or tocilizumab, lowered inflammation-related pain in humans (Sainoh et al., 2016). Interestingly, human IVD cells over-expressing IL-1 receptor antagonist were able to lower an IL-1-dependent increase of MMPs when injected into IVD degenerative explants, demonstrating their anti-catabolic potential (Le Maître et al., 2007). In parallel, because NF-kB increases IVD degeneration via the upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Fontana et al., 2015), studies examined its inhibition. Indeed, human NP cell exposure to NF-kB inhibitor led to significantly decreased IL-1β-dependent MMPs and ADAMTS upregulation (Orita et al., 2013; Zhongyi et al., 2015). In addition, inhibition of other cytokines such as BDNF, NGF, VEGF, or TNF-α was studied and showed promising results in the context of inflammation-associated DDD (Orita et al., 2011; Walter et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2011).

In parallel to the previously described IVD degenerative processes, aging-associated accumulation of oxidative damage is of great interest. Collagen is subject to glycoxidation reactions that form advanced-glycation end-products (AGEs). The accumulation of these AGEs molecules contributes to IVD degeneration by increasing TNF-α, MMPs, and...
Table 1
Clinical trials for IVD regeneration (source: clinicaltrial.org).

### Cell-based clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Main inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autologous</td>
<td>Mesenchymal stromal</td>
<td>Lumbar degenerative disc disease</td>
<td>Unresponsive to conservative treatments, need of surgical reconstruction</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>Enrolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipose-derived stromal</td>
<td>Bone marrow-mesenchymal stromal</td>
<td>Degenerative disc disease</td>
<td>Back pain after conservative treatment for over 6 months</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>Completed (01.2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adipose-derived stromal</td>
<td>Intervertebral disc disease</td>
<td>Discopathy refractory to conservative treatment; MRI: Pfirrmann's grade IV or V</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Completed (05.2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adipose-derived stromal</td>
<td>Lumbar degenerative disc disease</td>
<td>Chronic low back pain for at least 1 year; Degenerated IVD on T2-weighted MRI</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allogenic</td>
<td>Chondrocytes</td>
<td>Back pain unresponsive to conservative treatments for at least 6 months; MRI: Pfirrmann's grade III or IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Terminated (08.2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mesenchymal Stromal</td>
<td>Degenerative disc disease</td>
<td>Back pain for at least 6 months; Fibrous ring capable of holding the cell implantation, demonstrated by MRI</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Completed (04.2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mesenchymal Stromal</td>
<td>Degenerative disc disease</td>
<td>Back pain unresponsive to conservative treatments for at least 3 months; Disc height loss of &lt; 30% compared to a normal adjacent disc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Completed (10.2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Molecular-based clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Main inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant, human</td>
<td>GDF-5</td>
<td>Early lumbar disc degeneration (NCT01158924)</td>
<td>Persistent low back pain with at least 3 months of non-surgical therapy; suspected symptomatic lumbar levels: L3/L4 to L5/S1; ODI ≥ 30</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Completed (03.2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GDF-5</td>
<td>Degenerative disc disease (NCT00813813)</td>
<td>Persistent low back pain with at least 3 months of non-surgical therapy; suspected symptomatic lumbar levels: L3/L4 to L5/S1; ODI ≥ 30</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Completed (06.2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GDF-5</td>
<td>Early lumbar disc degeneration</td>
<td>Persistent low back pain with at least 3 months of non-surgical therapy; suspected symptomatic lumbar levels: L3/L4 to L5/S1; ODI ≥ 30</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Completed (06.2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GDF-5</td>
<td>Degenerative disc disease (NCT01124006)</td>
<td>Persistent low back pain with at least 3 months of non-surgical therapy; suspected symptomatic lumbar levels: L3/L4 to L5/S1; ODI ≥ 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Completed (09.2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous</td>
<td>PRP</td>
<td>Low back pain (NCT02983747)</td>
<td>Chronic low back pain &gt; 2 months; MRI: Pfirrmann's grade I-III</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Gene/RNA based clinical trials

None

Abbreviations: GDF-5, Growth and Differentiation Factor-5; IVD, intervertebral disc; np: not provided; MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging; ODI, Oswestry Disability Index; PRP, platelet rich plasma.
aggreganases expression, and to a greater extent AGEs participate in the alteration of structural and mechanical properties of the ECM (Colombini et al., 2008). Interestingly, administration of an anti-inflammatory drug (pentosan-polysulfate) associated with anti-AGE molecules (pyridoxamine) alleviated NP degeneration by lowering MMPs and ADAMTS production in diabetic mice (Illien-Junger et al., 2013).

With the discovery of molecules involved in IVD degenerative processes, new therapeutic approaches were proposed, either through stimulating anabolism or inhibiting catabolism. Despite encouraging results, the short half-life of such molecules does not allow for long-term activity, and they may not be sufficient to reactivate the physiological IVD machinery. Whether embedding these molecules in biomaterials to allow their in situ sustained and controlled delivery, while protecting them from proteolytic degradation, is a good option will be discussed in the next section.

3.3. Gene and interfering RNA therapies

An alternative to biotherapies may be the genetic modification of resident cells through gene transfer, for which two strategies can be envisioned: (i) The vector containing the gene can be introduced in the body (direct or in vivo gene therapy) or (ii) target cells can be harvested, genetically modified in vitro, and re-implanted (indirect or ex vivo gene therapy) (Goldspiel et al., 1993). Considering the decreased number of cells in degenerative IVD, direct gene therapy could be of interest for early degeneration, while the indirect approach seems more suitable for more severe cases. Two carriers were used for gene delivery: non-viral and viral vectors. Non-viral gene therapy refers to the use of naked DNA or cationic compounds (liposomes, polyplexes, lipopoliplexes) (Daraee et al., 2014; Rezaee et al., 2016). Such gene carriers help avoid the risks of mutagenicity, viral infections, and viral immunogenicity (Mintzer and Simanek, 2009). However, their application in IVD degeneration treatment remains limited. Regarding viral vectors, while retroviruses are the most commonly used, they have a more potent action on cells with a high dividing rate making them poorly adapted for NP-cell-mediated IVD gene therapy (Miller et al., 1990). Many authors thus chose adenoviruses despite their potential infectious and immunogenic risk owing to their higher transduction efficiency notably in quiescent or slowly dividing cells. Therapeutic genes encoding for anti-inflammatory agents or MMPs inhibitors (e.g., TIMP-1) were considered as they could drastically reduce the expression of MMPs involved in ECM degradation (W.-J. Wang et al., 2015b). It was found that the use of adenoviral vectors coding for BMP-2 and TIMP-1 on human degenerated disc cells increased proteoglycan synthesis (Wallach et al., 2003). Adenoviruses were also used to deliver genes coding for growth factors such as GDF-5 (X.-W. Luo et al., 2016a) or TGF-β (Nishida et al., 1999) and they were shown to efficiently stimulate anabolism.

In parallel to classic gene therapies, there is growing interest in the use of siRNAs. siRNAs are short non-coding sequences of 20–25 nucleotides that control gene expression by binding to their target mRNA and causing the repression of RNA translation or degradation (Bartel, 2004). Many miRNAs deregulations emerged as key players in IVD degeneration by triggering NP cell apoptosis, ECM degradation, or NP cell proliferation and inflammatory response (see (Zhou et al., 2017) for review) and can thus be envisioned as new therapeutic targets.

Gene- and RNA interfering-based therapies seem to be particularly interesting for restoring the anabolic/catabolic balance in IVDs. However, as NP cell density drastically decreases during degeneration, the effectiveness of such approaches needs to be clarified. Finally, adenoviruses or siRNAs are not integrative tools and do not lead to extended gene expression. In addition, as siRNAs need to be protected from endonuclease degradation, the use of specifically designed biomaterial-based systems, as mentioned previously for peptidic molecules, could be a reasonable option.

As stated above, the broadening of our understanding of IVD degenerative processes led to new therapeutic strategies based on the local administration of cells, molecules, genes, or siRNA and several clinical trials assessing these three main therapeutic strategies have been reported (Table 1). As of November 2017, eight clinical trials have been published using autologous or allogenic cells, four trials have reported the injection of anabolic factor GDF-5 and only one trial is based on PRP. To the best of our knowledge, there is no ongoing clinical trial based on gene or RNA interfering therapies for IVD regeneration. Despite encouraging results, these therapies need further improvement with regard to the harsh environment in which these biologics are injected. Thus, biomaterials were considered so as to improve cell survival and protect molecules and nucleic acids from degradation. These biomaterials could additionally allow the biologics to be delivered in a spatiotemporally controlled manner thereby promoting long-term IVD regeneration.

4. Engineering biomaterials for IVD delivery

Successful delivery of fragile biologics into IVDs is highly challenging, mostly because of their short in vivo half-life. With the aim of targeting this poorly vascularized tissue, delivery routes are limited and thus minimally invasive direct injection received attention. While there are reviews extensively describing pharmaceutical innovations, including self-setting hydrogels, microspheres, and liposomes, for the oral (Diab et al., 2017), the intra-vertebral (Bochat and Fattal, 2012), and the transdermal (Naves et al., 2017) routes, only few papers are available providing a critical assessment of IVD-specific approaches for local and sustained delivery. Here, we highlight the rational selection of natural or synthetic polymers, we exemplify the design of delivery systems based on macro-, micro-, and nano-sized properties, and we illustrate how multiscale combined systems might help direct IVD regenerative medicine strategies.

4.1. Natural and synthetic polymers

One key parameter in the design of delivery systems is the selection of the constitutive polymer, since the chemical functionalities of a polymer affect all aspects of its performance, including encapsulation efficiency as well as polymer degradation rate and release (Mitragotri et al., 2014). As such, recent advances in delivery systems are based on polymers tailored for a specific tissue and engineered to exert distinct biological functions.

Among natural polymers, collagen, hyaluronic acid (HA), alginate, and chitosan were extensively studied (Sionkowska, 2011). Extracted from natural sources or obtained by bacterial fermentation, these macromolecules offer various advantages such as large-scale production, low toxicity, and similarity to ECM components.

Collagen, a major component of healthy IVD ECM, exhibits biodegradability, weak antigenicity, and superior biocompatibility compared with other natural proteins. The widespread biomedical use of collagen is based on its ability to be shaped into films, sponges, and micro- or nanoparticles (Liu et al., 2013). Gelatin, derived from collagen hydrolysis, is biodegradable in a physiological environment, with the formation of harmless metabolic products. In degenerated IVD, injectable gelatin microspheres impregnated with PRP reduced NP cells apoptosis and slowed down degeneration in adult rabbits (Sawamura et al., 2009). HA, another leading constituent of the NP ECM, was also studied as a scaffold for tissue engineering (Sionkowska et al., 2016), but less so for IVD strategies.

Although they cannot be found in the human body, alginate, a cell wall polysaccharide constituent of brown algae, and chitosan, a polysaccharide synthesized by crustaceans, were used for multiple biomedical applications (Bernkop-Schnürch and Dünnhaupt, 2012). Alginate microparticles were designed for the in vitro delivery of PRP in the treatment of degenerated IVDs (Sawamura et al., 2009); however, their ionic gelation-dependent low stability in the body might constitute a
drawback. Similarly, cationic chitosan was considered for IVD regenerative applications, as a cell or molecule carrier (Cheng et al., 2010; Roughley et al., 2006).

Synthetic polymers are obtained through reproducible industrial processes, with tunable physicochemical and mechanical properties. The most commonly used polymers for drug delivery systems are poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL) (Kondiah et al., 2016).

PLGA microspheres were widely used for the release of TGF-β3 or anti-inflammatory molecules (Bhardwaj et al., 2007; Liang et al., 2013). They were used for recombinant human GDF-5 delivery in vivo in a rat model and increased the synthesis of aggrecan and type II collagen (Yan et al., 2013). PLGA microspheres were also used for the sustained delivery of IL-1β receptor antagonist in a 3D culture model, and this treatment effectively attenuated the IL-1β-mediated inflammatory response of the 3D cultured NP cells (Gorth et al., 2012).

PCL, a semi-crystalline and hydrophobic polymer, is currently used in FDA-approved sutures for surgery and in commercially available contraceptive devices (Wei et al., 2009). PCL is often associated with hydrophilic polymers, either natural or synthetic ones (e.g., PEG, PAA or pNIPAAm), to form di- or triblock amphiphilic copolymers (Fu et al., 2014; Li and Tan, 2015). Moreover, PCL was extensively used for IVD tissue engineering, especially for AF repair (Wismer et al., 2014). PCL-based or PCL-coated microparticles were successfully designed for the sustained delivery of bioactive molecules, although not for IVD applications (Jung et al., 2015; Z. Luo et al., 2016b).

In the context of IVD regenerative medicine, the usefulness of these natural and synthetic polymers is broadened further by our ability to create systems with multiple shapes and sizes that deliver drugs, cytokines, growth factors, or stem cells in a controlled manner. They are reviewed in the following sections.

4.2. In situ forming hydrogels as macro-scaled delivery systems

Hydrogels, networks of hydrophilic polymers capable of absorbing large amounts of water, are of particular interest for highly hydrated NP and AF tissue regeneration. Besides water, they provide mechanical support and a 3D microenvironment adapted for cell survival and proliferation. Attention was focused on the development of stimuli-responsive polymer solutions that could be injected in a minimally invasive manner prior to in situ solidifying, ensuring a perfect fit to the desired tissue. The in situ formation of hydrogels occurs through chemical covalent bonds or physical bonds (electrostatic or hydrophobic interactions).

Among chemical crosslinking, photo-induced crosslinking requires the production of radical initiating species and a precursor solution of a photoreactive polymer, usually a polyethylene glycol (PEG) acrylate or methacrylate derivative (Francisco et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). While the use of photo-crosslinked polymers allows for time-controlled gelation, the limited access of the light apparatus to the target tissue weakens this strategy for IVD regeneration. Click chemistry, Schiff base chemistry, Michael-type reactions, and enzymatic, protein, or pH modification-based crosslinking approaches might be better suited for IVD in situ gelation (Blanquer et al., 2014). These processes are generally compatible with cell or bioactive molecule loading as they often take place in mild conditions (37 °C, physiological pH, short period). In the early 2000s, a silanized cellulose-based hydrogel, in which crosslinking is triggered by pH, was developed (Bourges et al., 2002). The importance of this hydrogel has been demonstrated for the regeneration of articular cartilage (Vinatier et al., 2009) and myocardium (Mathieu et al., 2012) and it is currently studied for IVD regeneration (Henry et al., 2017).

Physically crosslinked hydrogels are formed via non-covalent interactions in response to an external stimulus (pH, temperature, molecule level, light, pressure, electric current) (Qu and Park, 2012). Thermo-responsive polymers spontaneously undergo a sol-gel transition when exposed to physiological temperature after in vivo injection. Recombinant polypeptides (e.g., elastin-like polypeptide (Nettles et al., 2010)), naturally derived polysaccharides (e.g., chitosan (Cheng et al., 2013)), and synthetic polymers (e.g., block copolymers of PEG and PLGA (Tran et al., 2012), poly (N-isopropylacrylamide) (p-NIPAAm) (Willems et al., 2015)) are the most commonly reported thermo-responsive polymers for IVD regeneration.

In situ-forming hydrogels exhibit many advantages for IVD treatment. They provide an aqueous environment that can rehydrate the degenerated microenvironment, they protect biologics, and they can restore, at least partially, the mechanical properties of IVDs. The permeability of hydrogels facilitates good transport of nutrients to encapsulated cells. Nevertheless, hydrogels can present some restrictions due to, for example, their weak mechanical properties. One of the main drawbacks of in situ-forming bulk hydrogels is the difficulty to tune their release characteristics. Indeed, while the diffusion of entrapped molecules can be slightly modified by adjusting the polymer network mesh, exchanges with the targeted microenvironment are limited to the interface hydrogel/tissue, usually in the order of a few cm²/g. By comparison, micro- and nano-scale delivery systems can develop areas > 1000 m²/g as measured by N₂ adsorption-desorption experiments.

4.3. Micro-scale delivery systems

In parallel to stimuli-responsive polymer solutions ensuring a perfect fit to the IVD defect following in situ gelation, injectable micro-sized particles, with diameters varying from 20 μm to 200 μm, have release kinetics depending on their size and shape. Their release properties can be modified by tuning parameters such as the size, chemical composition, crosslinking degree, or molecular weight of the polymer (Salmert and Little, 2012). Moreover, chemical modification of the microparticle surface can allow for covalent coupling of factors such as antibodies and receptors. Microparticles are able to deliver biological factors over a long period while protecting them from inactivation (Wenk et al., 2009).

Many inorganic and polymer-based microparticles can be prepared by simple or double emulsion methods, in which a solution of the material is emulsified in water, followed by the formation of particles during the evaporation of the organic solvent. A recent modified protocol combines a crosslinking process and the emulsion step in an oil phase (Phromsopha and Baimark, 2014). Other production methods are spray drying, gelation, coacervation, electrospray, supercritical fluid mixing, and microfluidic technique. While their main advantage over classic emulsion is the narrower particle size distribution, the yield is often disappointing.

Recently, GDF-5-loaded PLGA microspheres, with an in vitro sustained delivery for 42 days, were injected into degenerated rat IVDs. Restoration of the disc height to almost 90% of the non-punctured disc was achieved (Yan et al., 2013). Moreover, the injection significantly increased aggrecan and type II collagen mRNA expression, whereas type I collagen mRNA expression was significantly decreased, suggesting that a micro-sized carrier could be of interest for IVD regeneration (Yan et al., 2013).

4.4. Nano-scale delivery systems

Alongside microparticles, many materials were used to design nanoparticles for biomedical applications, as nanocarriers are easily taken up by cells (Wilczewska et al., 2012). Polymeric nanoparticles, liposomes, dendrimers, polymers, silicon or carbon materials, and magnetic nanoparticles are examples of nano-carriers used as drug delivery systems notably for cancer (Sun et al., 2014) or bone regeneration (van Rijt and Habibovic, 2017). In this section, we highlight the particles that are feasible for use in the context of DDD.

Polymeric nanoparticles: Bioactive molecules can be either
encapsulated or immobilized onto the surface of the nanoparticles, then released by desorption, diffusion, or nanoparticle erosion. Thus, degradable nanocarriers are widely used in biomedical applications as they can be hydrolyzed in situ (Kohane et al., 2006) and often considered to be biocompatible with tissues and cells, since they do not induce pro-inflammatory or immune reactions (des Rieux et al., 2006). An upregulation of aggrecan and type II collagen was achieved by using chitosan/Poly-(c-glutamic acid) nanoparticles loaded with the anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac. These nanoparticles were injected into a needle-punctured bovine IVD cultured in vitro with IL-1β. Additionally, down-regulation of IL-6, IL-8, MMP1, and MMP3 was noted (Teixeira et al., 2016).

Self-organized spherical liposomes, usually 80–300 nm in diameter, contain at least one lipid bilayer surrounding an aqueous core (Daraee et al., 2014). They are thus able to encapsulate both hydrophobic and hydrophilic molecules within the lipid bilayer or the aqueous core, respectively. Liposomes were first used to improve the solubility and therapeutic index of chemotherapeutic agents, by allowing targeted delivery and enhanced tissue penetration. Since liposomes interact with cells by adsorption, fusion, endocytosis, or lipid transfer, they were studied as gene and siRNA carriers, especially cationic liposomes (Majzoub et al., 2016). The release kinetics can be tuned by the liposome composition, pH, osmotic gradient, incorporation of temperature- or pH-sensitive lipids (dos Santos Giuberti et al., 2011), and the surrounding environment. Liposomes were thus used for drug delivery in various applications such as in anti-cancer or anti-inflammatory therapies or to reach specific organs (lungs, liver, spleen, brain). However, to date, their use for tackling IVD degeneration has not been reported. Interestingly, lipid nanocapsules were successfully designed for nucleic acid delivery (Huynh et al., 2009) and their application in siRNA delivery for IVD regeneration could be of interest.

Dendrimers are branched polymers whose toxicity is mainly related to functional groups presented at the surface layer and can be lowered by functionalization, e.g., by PEGylation (Zeng et al., 2016). This functionalization improves the surface activity of dendrimers as well as their biological and physicochemical properties. Drugs are entrapped in the internal structure of the dendrimer, adsorbed or chemically bonded onto the dendrimer surface according to its properties (sensitivity to temperature or pH, toxicity, solubility). One of the most commonly used in biomedical applications is poly-(amino amide; PAMAM–) as it was proven to be non-toxic and safe (Chauhan et al., 2009) and its capacity for gene or drug delivery was extensively explored (Zhao et al., 2011). Indeed, PAMAM dendrimers can modulate cytokine and chemokine release (Seelbach et al., 2015) and exhibit anti-inflammatory behavior by inhibiting proinflammatory cytokine secretion (Bosch, 2011). Thereby, dendrimers demonstrated their suitability in rheumatoid arthritis and musculoskeletal diseases and their application in IVD regeneration could be envisioned.

Carbon nanotubes are the most commonly used carbon nanoparticles and were widely studied for drug delivery in biomedical applications (Beg et al., 2011). They are characterized by a single or multiwall graphene-based tube with a high specific surface area and possibly excellent electronic and thermal conductivity (Beg et al., 2011). They have exceptional mechanical properties and are thus of interest as fillers in composite materials (Harrison and Atala, 2007). Toxicity due to their dimensions and released impurities was reported, but their biocompatibility can be enhanced with chemical surface engrafment of PEG, PAMAM, or albumin (Harrison and Atala, 2007). Molecules are encapsulated inside carbon nanotubes or chemically adsorbed onto their surface. Carbon nanotubes improve the mechanical properties of hydrogels (Dong et al., 2013) and can be of potential interest for IVD regenerative medicine.

Mesoporous silica nanoparticles are a widely studied biomaterial for their drug delivery capacity in particular for cancer therapies (Yang et al., 2013).
and Yu, 2016) or nerve growth (Sun et al., 2016). Indeed, mesoporous colloidal silica exhibit several advantages such as cytocompatibility, a high specific surface area, and ease of functionalization (Moritz and Geszke-Moritz, 2015). Additionally, the size, shape, surface pattern, porosity, and pore size of silica nanoparticles are easily tunable (Hoffmann et al., 2006). In the biomedical field, the best known mesoporous silica nanoparticles are MCM-41 and SBA-15. Drug loading into mesoporous silica might occur via chemical or physical adsorption, and release is usually controlled by diffusion throughout the mesopores. The potential of these particles was demonstrated for biomedical applications (Vallet-Regi and Balas, 2008) and more recently for the IVD (Henry et al., 2017).

4.5. Multiscale systems for complex regenerative strategies

Over the past few years, composite materials, composed of at least two distinct components, drew attention in biomedical engineering since they possess additional properties that each constituent alone does not exhibit. They were widely studied for bone regeneration, and successful clinical trials were recently reviewed (Jahan and Tabrizian, 2016).

Regarding specific IVD applications, we would like to direct the reader’s attention to the multiscale systems illustrated in Fig. 4 that emphasize the integration of technologies such as in situ-forming hydrogels with ready-to-use micro- or nanoparticles. The assembly of specific functionalities obtained by combining a bulk matrix, to restore hydration and mechanical properties, with a long-term reservoir of cells or molecules, to provide biological cues, could constitute a breakthrough in IVD regenerative therapies. The main outcome of this review is to highlight the crucial role of biomaterials in IVD regenerative medicine strategies. With regard to the aforementioned device needs, we would like to stress that biomaterials are considered the driving force of the IVD regenerative medicine train (Fig. 5). Biomaterials are essential for the design and development of hydrogels capable of restoring load-bearing function while supporting cells until an appropriate ECM is synthesized. Biomaterials are also essential for engineering efficient and robust delivery devices that can be tailored to specific tissues.

For example, we recently reported on a multiscale system where an Si-HPMC hydrogel supplemented with rod-shaped silica nanofibers provided sustained release of TGF-β1 and GDF-5, compared with the plain hydrogel, while maintaining their in vitro biological activity (Henry et al., 2017). Recently, TGF-β3-loaded PLGA nanoparticles dispersed in a dextran/gelatin hydrogel, although not injectable, increased the gene expression of both aggrecan and type II collagen of MSCs in vitro (Gan et al., 2016). We believe that promising engineering technologies such as interpenetrating network-strengthened hydrogels (Gan et al., 2017), self-assembling nanofibrous peptide hydrogels (Wan et al., 2016), and programmed sequential bioactive factor delivery to target multiple repair steps (Bayer et al., 2017) could lead to significant advances in IVD regenerative strategies. Finally, an ambitious strategy would aim at delivering chemo-attractants from micro- or nanoparticles to attract progenitor cells toward a hydrogel-based microenvironment that would promote endogenous repair.

5. Conclusion

IVD degeneration is a major health concern and today, thanks to recent discoveries, the physiopathology of this disease is better understood. Building on this deeper knowledge, various biotherapies were proposed in the past few years, including cell-based therapies, molecular therapies, and nucleic acid-based therapies. These therapies aim at locally injecting biologics including cells, proteins, or DNA as mentioned earlier. Even if promising results have been obtained in vitro, the harsh environment of degenerated IVDs is a major concern that has hampered the clinical translatability of such concepts. The main outcome of this review is to highlight the essential role of biomaterials in strategies for IVD regenerative medicine. Indeed, injectable in situ crosslinking hydrogels could restore IVD height and hydration and would be particularly suited for IVD regenerative strategies. Additionally, hydrogels can improve cell viability and protect bioactive molecules from in situ degradation. Finally, hydrogels can be used as scaffolds, supplemented or not with micro- or nanoparticles. These so-called multiscale systems would allow for a sustained delivery of the biologics promoting long-term IVD regenerative processes.

The design of the optimal system for IVD regeneration is still under debate as disc degeneration is a multifactorial disease. Cell-based therapies were widely considered in view of the major decrease in cell numbers that is observed with degeneration. However, the regulatory framework and the costs associated with these strategies may restrict their use. We believe that an effective approach would target the early degenerative processes and involve multiscale biomaterials allowing molecules to be sustainably delivered in situ and enabling a prolonged stimulation of endogenous repair.
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